



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12795 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 18.07.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL.O.P (MD) No.12795 of 2022

1. Anandharaj,
2. Maruthupandi,
3. Senthoorpandi,
4. Veerapandi,

: Petitioners

Vs

- 1.The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Keelaparalachi Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
CrimeNo.13/2022.

2. Barath,

: Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to call for the records pertaining to the FIR in Crime No.13 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police and quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12795 of 2022

For Petitioners : M/s. Suresh.M,
For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R2 : Mr.L.Prabakaran

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in Crime No.13 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous civil dispute, the petitioners trespassed into the second respondent's house, abused him with filthy language and assaulted him with hands. Hence the complaint.

3.The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

4.A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12795 of 2022

were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.Saravana Raj, SSI of Police, Keelaparalachi Police Station as well as by the learned Counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5.In the instant case, the dispute is of civil in nature and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has to power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 448, 294(b), 323, 506(i) IPC.

6.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another* reported in (2012)10 SCC 303 and *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*) reported in (2017)9 SCC 641 were taken into consideration.

7.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.13 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police ,



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12795 of 2022

even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.13 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police police, is quashed insofar as the petitioners alone and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

18.07.2022

Internet: Yes./No

Index: Yes/no

lr

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Keelaparalachi Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12795 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

lr

ORDER IN
CRL.O.P (MD) No.12795 of 2022

18.07.2022