



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.12760 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 15.07.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.12760 of 2022

M.Pothammal

... Petitioner

VS.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Vedasandur, Dindigul District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Eriodu Police Station,
Eriodu, Dindigul District.

4.Perumal

5.Vijay

6.Selvam

7.Ajith

8.Ranjith

...Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C, to direct the third respondent herein do not interfere with the civil dispute between the petitioner and the fourth respondent herein with regard to pathway dispute and further direct the third respondent herein do not harass the petitioner and her family members under the guise of enquiry based on the complaint given by the fourth respondent herein.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12760 of 2022



For Petitioner : Mr.G.Gomathi Shankar

For R1 to R3 : Mr.A.Albert James
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking a direction to the third respondent herein not to interfere with the civil dispute between the petitioner and the fourth respondent with regard to pathway dispute and further direct the third respondent not to harass the petitioner and her family members under the guise of enquiry, based on the complaint given by the fourth respondent.

2.It is seen from the records that the petitioner has earlier filed a petition in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10605 of 2022 seeking orders to provide police protection to the petitioner and her family members for the life and limb based on her complaint dated 06.06.2022 and this Court vide, order dated 15.06.2022, has disposed of the same and directed the third respondent to consider the representation, dated 06.06.2022 given by the petitioner and take appropriate action. Moreover, in the said order, this Court by observing that since there existed dispute between the petitioner



and the respondents 4 to 7 with regard to the cart track poromboku and the suit in O.S.No.86 of 2019 is pending on the file of the District Munsif Court, Vendasandur and that the requisition was given to the Tahsildar to decide about the patta with regard to the card track poromboku in S.No. 574/4, directed the third respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) for the respondents 1 to 3 would submit that the enquiry is pending before the Tahsildar. He would further submit that though summons has been sent to the petitioner, she has not appeared in pursuance of the same.

4.At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is ready to appear before the third respondent.

5.Considering the above, the petitioner is directed to appear before the third respondent on 21.07.2022 at 11.00 a.m and on such appearance, the third respondent is directed to proceed in accordance with law.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12760 of 2022

6. With the above directions, this criminal original petition stands disposed of.

15.07.2022

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
sjj

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Vedasandur, Dindigul District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Eriodu Police Station,
Eriodu, Dindigul District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.12760 of 2022



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.12760 of 2022

K.MURALI SHANKAR, J.

sjj

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.12760 of 2022

15.07.2022