



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12936of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 04.08.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12936 of 2022
and CrlM.P(MD) No.8210 of 2022

V.A.Balamurugan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police
Tiruchendur Temple Police Station
Tiruchendur, Thoothukudi District

2.M.Vellaian

....Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
praying to call for the records pertaining to the FIR in Crime No. 62 of
2022 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same in
respect of the petitioner alone.

For Petitioner	: Mr.G.Balasubramani
For Respondents	: Mr.A.Albert James
No.1	Government Advocate(Crl.Side)
No.2	: Mr.B.Christopher



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12936of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in Crime No.62 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to some wordy quarrel, the petitioner herein said to have abused the defacto complainant and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence the case came to be registered.

3.The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

4.A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioner and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioner and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12936of 2022

identified by Mr.T.Kumar, Sub Inspector of Police, Tiruchendur Temple Police Station as well as by the learned Counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5.In the instant case, the dispute is a wordy quarrel and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 294(b),307,506(ii) of IPC.

6.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.

7.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12936of 2022

the proceedings in Crime No. 62 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.62 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police respondent police, is quashed insofar as the petitioner and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order. Consequently connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

04.08.2022

Internet: Yes
Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non speaking order
aav

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Tiruchendur Temple Police Station
Tiruchendur, Thoothukudi District
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court.

4/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12936of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

aav

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12936 of 2022

04.08.2022