



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 14.02.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11754 of 2020

Subbulakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station,
Sattur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.

2. Gopalsamy

3. Ganapathy

4. Ravi

5. Rajeswari

...Respondents

Prayer: This Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to direct the 1st respondent not to interfere in Civil dispute relating to the petitioner's property in Virudhunagar District, Sattur Taluk, O.Mettupatti Village, Survey No.118 to an extent of 3 acre and 8 cents upon the instructions of the respondents 2 to 5.

For Petitioner: Mr.F.X.EUGENE M/S.S.ANANTHI, Advocate

For R1 : Mr.R.M.ANBUNITHI
Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl Side)

For RR2 to 5 : Mr.P.SUBBARAJ, Advocate

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to direct the first respondent not to interfere in the Civil dispute relating to the petitioner's property in Virudhunagar District, Sattur Taluk, O.Mettupatti Village, Survey No.118 to an extent of 3 acre and 8 cents upon the instructions of the respondents 2 to 5.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the respondent police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainants against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending on the file of the respondent police.



4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent police.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent police had been harassing her under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant

c) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

d) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

e) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall

be strictly adhered to.

<https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices/>



WEB COPY

Cr1.O.P.(MD)No.11754 of 2020

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (A.D.II)

// True Copy //

/ /2022
Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To:

1. The Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station,
Sattur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Cr1.O.P. (MD) No.11754 of 2020
14.02.2022

SA (03.03.2022) 3P 3c