



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12714 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.07.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **V.SIVAGNANAM**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12714 of 2022

and

Crl.M.P(MD) Nos.8048 and 8049 of 2022

1. R.Vivek,

2. A. Sundarajan,

: Petitioners

Vs

1.The State represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
City Crime Branch,  
Tirunelveli City,  
(Crime No. 7 of 2018).

2. Murugan,

: Respondents

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying this Court to call for the records relating to the proceedings in Special C.C. No. 25 of 2020, before the Special Court for POCSO Act, Tirunelveli, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alangulam and quash the same as



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12714 of 2022

against the petitioner.

WEB COPY

For Petitioners : M/s. Aayiram K.Selvakumar,  
For R1 : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,  
Additional Public Prosecutor  
For R2 : Mr.M.S.Jeyakarthick

### **ORDER**

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in Special C.C. No. 25 of 2020, before the Special Court for POCSO Act, Tirunelveli, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alangulam.

2.The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they had not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. Hence the charge sheet in Special C.C. No. 25 of 2020 has to be quashed.



3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that in this case, trial is commenced and the witness PW-1 was examined. Now, the case is pending for the cross-examination. Hence, at this stage, it is inappropriate to quash the charge sheet and pleaded to dismiss this petition.

4.The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Indian Oil Corporation vs. NEPC India Limited and others [(2006)6 SCC 736]** laid down the principles relating to exercise of jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to quash complaints and criminal proceedings, which are relevant for the present purpose are:-

(i) A complaint can be quashed where the allegations made in the complaint, even if they are taken at their face value and accepted in their entirety, do not prima facie constitute any offence or make out the case alleged against the accused. For this purpose, the complaint has to be examined as a whole, but without examining the merits of the allegations. Neither a detailed inquiry nor a meticulous analysis of the material nor an assessment of the reliability or genuineness of the allegations in the complaint, is warranted while examining prayer for quashing of a complaint.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.12714 of 2022

(ii) A complaint may also be quashed where it is a clear abuse of the process of the court, as when the criminal proceeding is found to have been initiated with malafides/malice for wreaking vengeance or to cause harm, or where the allegations are absurd and inherently improbable.

(iii) The power to quash shall not, however, be used to stifle or scuttle a legitimate prosecution. The power should be used sparingly and with abundant caution.

(iv) The complaint is not required to verbatim reproduce the legal ingredients of the offence alleged. If the necessary factual foundation is laid in the complaint, merely on the ground that a few ingredients have not been stated in detail, the proceedings should not be quashed. Quashing of the complaint is warranted only where the complaint is so bereft of even the basic facts which are absolutely necessary for making out the offence.

(v) A given set of facts may make out : (a) purely a civil wrong; or (b) purely a criminal offence; or (c) a civil wrong as also a criminal offence. A commercial transaction or a contractual dispute, apart from furnishing a cause of action for seeking remedy in civil law, may also involve a criminal offence. As the nature and scope of a civil proceedings are different from a criminal proceeding, the mere fact that the



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12714 of 2022

complaint relates to a commercial transaction or breach of contract, for which a civil remedy is available or has been availed, is not by itself a ground to quash the criminal proceedings. The test is whether the allegations in the complaint disclose a criminal offence or not.

5.I have considered the matter in the light of the submissions made by both the parties and perused the materials available on record.

6.On perusal of the charge sheet and the statement of witnesses shows that *prima facie* an offence and allegation made therein will have to be taken on the face value. If it could not be said that their prosecution would amount to an abuse of process of the Court, invoking inherent power, prior to the commencement of trial and leading of evidence, to quash prosecution is not desirable. Power should be exercised only in exceptional cases. Hence, I find no merits in the Criminal Original Petition.

7.In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that since the trial is commenced, it is inappropriate to quash the criminal proceedings in Special C.C. No. 25 of 2020, before the Special Court for POCSO Act, Tirunelveli, on



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.12714 of 2022

the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alangulam. Therefore, I find no merit in this criminal original petition and the same is dismissed.

Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

18.07.2022

Internet: Yes  
Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non speaking order  
lr



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12714 of 2022

**V.SIVAGNANAM, J.**

lr

To

1. The Inspector of Police,  
City Crime Branch,  
Tirunelveli City,

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.12714 of 2022

18.07.2022

7/7