



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 21.02.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P. (MD) No.7349 of 2019

and

W.M.P. (MD) Nos.5859 & 11609 of 2019

WEB COPY

A.V.C.Mohan

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The General Manager
Personnel Administrative Department
Indian Overseas Bank
Central Office
No.763, Anna Salai
Chennai-600 002

2.The Chief Regional Manager
Indian Overseas Bank
Regional Office
No.40, Eighty Feet Road
Arignar Anna Nagar
Madurai-625 020

3.The Assistant General Manager
Disciplinary Authority
Indian Overseas Bank
Central Officer
No.763, Anna Salai
Chennai-600 002


4.The Assistant General Manager
Indian Overseas Bank
Regional Office
No.40, Eighty Feet Road
Arignar Anna Nagar
Madurai-625 020

5.Rajeshkumar Mathur
Deputy General Manager /
Disciplinary Authority
Indian Overseas Bank
Zonal Office
No.11/952, Cross Cut Road
Coimbatore-641 012

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of certiorarified mandamus to call for

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



the records pertaining to the impugned proceedings of the fifth respondent in DA:DGM(RKM)-ZO : VIG:8970:928:2018-19, dated 04.03.2019 fixing the Inquiry Authority and Presenting Officer to conduct the enquiry on the Memorandum of Allegations and Articles of Charge ZO:DA:DGM:(SA)-ZO-VIG/8970:275:2017-18 dated 19.03.2018, on the allegations against the petitioner already conducted enquiry and imposed punishment as per the proceedings in DO:DA:AGM (RR): VIG:File-8595:2715:2016-2017, dated 30.09.2016 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents 1 and 3 to instruct the fifth respondent not to proceed further on any allegations or charges against the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Radhakrishnan

For Respondents : Mr.N.Dilip Kumar, Standing Counsel

O R D E R

The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent - Bank made a submission that during the pendency of this writ petition, the disciplinary proceedings initiated against the petitioner has been concluded and punishment has also been imposed and the petitioner has challenged the punishment by way of filing a separate writ petition. Thus, the relief sought for in this writ petition has become infructuous.

2. In view of the above submission made by the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent - Bank, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Records)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Krk

+1 CC to M/s.N.DILIPKUMAR, Advocate (SR-7925[F] dated 22/02/2022)

W.P. (MD) No.7349 of 2019

and

W.M.P. (MD) Nos.5859 & 11609 of 2019

21.02.2022

RD(02.03.2022) 2P 2C