



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11245 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.08.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **V.SIVAGNANAM**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11245 of 2022

and

Crl.M.P(MD) Nos.7087 and 7088 of 2022

1. B.Mahendran,

2. Balasubramani,

3. Ilakkiya,

: Petitioners

Vs

1.State represented by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Veerapandi Police Station,
Theni District
(Crime No. 515 of 2019).

2. G. Vinidhini,

: Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying this Court to call for the records pertaining to the impugned charge sheet in C.C.No. 189 of 2020, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Theni and



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11245 of 2022

quash the same in so far as the petitioners are concerned.

WEB COPY

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Murali
For R1 : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in C.C.No. 189 of 2020, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Theni.

2.The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged in the complaint. Hence, the criminal proceedings in C.C.No. 189 of 2020, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Theni, has to be quashed.

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that earlier, the petitioners herein, filed a petition in Crl.O.P(MD)No.5624 of 2021, before this Court and the same was dismissed on 01.03.2022. Again, with the same prayer the petitioners filed this petition, which is not maintainable and liable to be quashed.



WEB COPY

4.The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Indian Oil Corporation vs. NEPC India Limited and others [(2006)6 SCC 736]** laid down the principles relating to exercise of jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to quash complaints and criminal proceedings, which are relevant for the present purpose are:-

(i) A complaint can be quashed where the allegations made in the complaint, even if they are taken at their face value and accepted in their entirety, do not prima facie constitute any offence or make out the case alleged against the accused.

For this purpose, the complaint has to be examined as a whole, but without examining the merits of the allegations. Neither a detailed inquiry nor a meticulous analysis of the material nor an assessment of the reliability or genuineness of the allegations in the complaint, is warranted while examining prayer for quashing of a complaint.

(ii) A complaint may also be quashed where it is a clear abuse of the process of the court, as when the criminal proceeding is found to have been initiated with malafides/malice for wreaking vengeance or to cause harm, or where the allegations are absurd and inherently improbable.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11245 of 2022

(iii) The power to quash shall not, however, be used to stifle or scuttle a legitimate prosecution. The power should be used sparingly and with abundant caution.

(iv) The complaint is not required to verbatim reproduce the legal ingredients of the offence alleged. If the necessary factual foundation is laid in the complaint, merely on the ground that a few ingredients have not been stated in detail, the proceedings should not be quashed. Quashing of the complaint is warranted only where the complaint is so bereft of even the basic facts which are absolutely necessary for making out the offence.

(v) A given set of facts may make out : (a) purely a civil wrong; or (b) purely a criminal offence; or (c) a civil wrong as also a criminal offence. A commercial transaction or a contractual dispute, apart from furnishing a cause of action for seeking remedy in civil law, may also involve a criminal offence. As the nature and scope of a civil proceedings are different from a criminal proceeding, the mere fact that the complaint relates to a commercial transaction or breach of contract, for which a civil remedy is available or has been availed, is not by itself a ground to quash the criminal proceedings. The test is whether the allegations in the complaint disclose a criminal offence or not.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11245 of 2022

5.I have considered the matter in the light of the submissions made by both the parties.

6.On a perusal of records, it is seen that earlier, the petitioners herein, filed a petition in Crl.O.P(MD)No.5624 of 2021, before this Court and the same was dismissed on 01.03.2022, liberty was granted to them to raise all the grounds before the trial Court and the trial Court was also directed to complete the trial in C.C.189 of 2020, within a period of six months, from the date of receipt of a copy of that order. Again, with the same prayer, the petitioners filed this petition.

7.On perusal of the charge sheet and the statement of witnesses shows that *prima facie* an offence and allegation made therein will have to be taken on the face value. If it could not be said that their prosecution would amount to an abuse of process of the Court, invoking inherent power, prior to the commencement of trial and leading of evidence, to quash prosecution is not desirable. Power should be exercised only in exceptional cases. Hence, I find no merits in the Criminal Original Petition.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11245 of 2022

8.At this stage, it cannot be quashed. Therefore, I find no merit in this criminal original petition and the same is dismissed.

9.However, the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the personal appearance of the petitioners 2 and 3 before the trial Court may be dispensed with.

10.I have considered the submission. The personal appearance of the petitioners 2 and 3 before the trial Court is hereby, dispensed with. But, they should appear before the trial Court, whenever required for further proceedings.

11.Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

05.08.2022

Internet:Yes
Index:Yes/No
Speaking/Non speaking order
lr



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11245 of 2022

To

1. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Veerapandi Police Station,
Theni District

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11245 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

lr

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11245 of 2022

05.08.2022