

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 12.09.2022

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD**

W.P(MD)No.6264 of 2019

P.D.Sai Prasath

... Petitioner

VS.

1.The District Collector,
Thanjavur District,
Collectorate,
Thanjavur.

2.The Tahsildar,
Papanasam Taluk,
Thanjavur District.

3.The Manager,
Tamilnadu State Marketing Corporation Limited,
Retail Shop at Saliymangalam Road,
Papanasam,
Thanjavur District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Papanasam Police Station,
Papanasam,
Thanjavur District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to

shift the TASMAC retail vending shop at Saliyamangalam Road, Papanasam, Thanjavur District, to some other place considering the petitioner's representation dated 25.02.2019.

For Petitioner	: Mr.R.Narayanan
For R1 & R2	: Mr.P.Thilakkumar Government Pleader
For R3	: Mr.H.Arumugam
For R4	: Mr.T.Senthil Kumar Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by R.MAHADEVAN, J.)

The relief sought in this Writ Petition is to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to shift the TASMAC retail vending shop at Saliyamangalam Road, Papanasam, Thanjavur District, to some other place by considering the petitioner's representation, dated 25.02.2019.

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a resident of Thanjavur District and the shop in question is located very close to the nearby residential homes and main road. Therefore, the petitioner made a representation dated 25.02.2019 to the

respondent authorities requesting to remove the shop. Finding no response on the same, he has come up with this writ petition for the aforesaid relief.

3.Heard the learned appearing for all the respondents and perused the documents enclosed in the typed set of papers.

4.This court is of the opinion that the location of the liquor shop is governed by the statutory Rules, viz., Tamil Nadu Liquor Retail Vending (in Shops and Bars) Rules, 2003, particularly Rule 8; and the District Collector is the competent authority to grant permission for the same. Therefore, the prayer made by the petitioner, cannot be entertained under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, when the statute explicitly provides for the remedy of appeal to the parties.

5.In this context, it is apropos to refer to the order dated 04.07.2022 passed in WP.No.16848 of 2022 by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in the case of *P.Rajendiran v. Government of Tamil Nadu and others*, in which, while dismissing the said case, it was observed as follows:

"2.The remedy of appeal is available to the petitioner, if according to the petitioner, the licence to the Tasmac shop has been issued in violation of rules. But without availing the remedy aforesaid, where factual issue about the location of the shop can also be determined, i.e., as to whether it is licensed in violation of the rules or not, the present writ petition has been preferred. The extraordinary remedy under Article 226 of the Constitution of India would not be open for determining the factual issues, which includes the location of Tasmac shop and as to whether it is in violation of rules or not. All these factual issues can easily be determined in the appeal. Therefore, we do not the writ petition of any exceptional nature where it can be maintained without taking the remedy of appeal. It is more so while there is no pleading in the writ petition to show that the remedy of appeal would not be efficacious."

6.At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner sought liberty to the petitioner to approach the appellate authority by preferring an appeal, in accordance with law, for which, there is no objection on the side of the respondent authorities.

7.In view of the above submissions made on either side, this Court permits the petitioner to file an appeal before the appellate authority within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On such filing, the appellate authority shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to all the parties, within a period of 60 days thereafter.

8.The Writ Petition is disposed of with the above directions. No costs.

[R.M.D.,J.] & [J.S.N.P.,J.]
12.09.2022

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
ps

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Thanjavur District,
Collectorate,
Thanjavur.
- 2.The Tahsildar,
Papanasam Taluk,
Thanjavur District.
- 3.The Manager,
Tamilnadu State Marketing Corporation Limited,
Retail Shop at Saliymangalam Road,
Papanasam,
Thanjavur District.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Papanasam Police Station,
Papanasam,
Thanjavur District.

W.P(MD)No.6264 of 2019

R.MAHADEVAN,J.
and
J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD,J.

ps

ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.6264 of 2019
DATED : 12.09.2022