



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11161 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.06.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL.O.P (MD) No.11161 of 2022

1.Jaffar Sathik @ Jabber Sathik

2.Kamilabanu @ Kamitha Banu

... Petitioners

Vs

1.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul.

2.Sikkanthar Masthan

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to call for the records of impugned First Information Report in Crime No.102 of 2022 on the file of the respondent No.1 Police Station and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Mohamed Riyaz



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11161 of 2022

For 1st Respondent : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For 2nd Respondent : Mr.A.Abdul Kabur

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in Crime No.102 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent Police Station.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the second respondent. On 19.02.2022 at about 10.30 p.m the petitioners came to the second respondent's house in order to pacifying the family issue. Due to which, the petitioners abused the second respondent with filthy language and also assaulted him with iron rod and pushed him on the steal gate. Thereafter, the second respondent sustained injury and he was taken to the hospital for treatment. Hence, the complaint.

3.The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11161 of 2022

4.A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.P.Chellamuthu, SSI of Police, Batlagundu Police Station. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5.In the instant case, the family dispute is pending between the parties and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has to power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC.

6.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11161 of 2022

7.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.102 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

8.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.102 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed insofar as the petitioners alone and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

23.06.2022

Internet:Yes./No

Index:Yes/no

vsg



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11161 of 2022

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11161 of 2022

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

vsg

ORDER IN
CRL.O.P (MD) No.11161 of 2022

23.06.2022