



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD). N



22

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04/08/2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.ILANGO VAN

CRL OP(MD). No.10808 of 2022

Veeran @ Balakrishnan

... Petitioner/Accused No.5

Vs

The State rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
M.Reddiapatti Police Station,
M.Reddiapatti,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.58 of 2022.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.58 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police, for the offence punishable under sections 4(1-a), 4(1)(aaa), 14A of the Tamilnadu Prohibition Act, in Crime No.58 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 28.05.2022 at about 03.30 p.m., the defacto complainant/Sub-Inspector of Police along with police parties engaged in routine vehicle check-up, near Othakadai, a Maruthi Suzuki Omni Car bearing Regn.No.TN-59-CB-7673 came from Mandapam Salai to Aruppukkottai was intercepted by the police parties and it was found in possession of Liquor Bottles which were manufactured by Pondicherry Union Territory. Subsequently, all the procedures have been undertaken on the five persons and four accused persons have arrested on the spot. Hence, the complaint.



3. During the course of investigation, it is revealed the petitioner is a prime accused person and he only issued directions to the other accused persons to settle the liquor bottles to other persons.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and a false case has been foisted against this petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there are three previous cases pending against the petitioner and the offences are similar in nature.

6. Eventhough the petitioner is ready to file an undertaking affidavit, considering the seriousness and gravity of the offence alleged as against the petitioner and also the fact that three previous cases are pending against this petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

sd/-

04/08/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/08/2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
M. REDDIAPATTI POLICE STATION, M. REDDIAPATTI,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No. 10808 of 2022

Date : 04/08/2022

KSA

MK/VR/SAR.III/18.08.2022/2P/3C