



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 20.06.2022

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P (MD)No.12376 of 2022

Murugan

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Puthupatti Police Station,
Puthupatti,
Pudukkottai District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents herein to grant permission and protection for cultural event namely Adal Padal scheduled to be held on 30.06.2022 at night in connection with ''Pongal Festival'' in Arulmighu Sri Kannudaya Ayyanar Temple at Puthunilaipatti Village, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District by consider the petitioner's representation dated 10.06.2022.


For Petitioner : Mr.E.Balasubramanian

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthikumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents herein to grant permission and protection for cultural event namely Adal Padal scheduled to be held on 30.06.2022 at night in connection with ''Pongal Festival'' in Arulmighu Sri Kannudaya Ayyanar Temple at Puthunilaipatti Village, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District by consider the petitioner's representation dated 10.06.2022.

2.Mr.M.Sakthikumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple festival, by name, Arulmighu Sri Kannudaya Ayyanar Temple situated at Puthunilaipatti Village, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District is going to be held on 30.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 10.06.2022. But the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4. The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 10.06.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS II)

// True Copy //

/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vsd

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.



2.The Inspector of Police,
Puthupatti Police Station,
Puthupatti,
Pudukkottai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P (MD) No.12376 of 2022

20.06.2022

SS (28/06/2022) 3P 4C