



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **16.06.2022**

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.11979 of 2022

S.Thiyagarajan

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
K.Pudupatti Police Station,
Thirumayam,
Pudukkottai District.

...Respondents


PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to grant police protection and permission to conduct the Aadal Paadal cultrual programme in the Thuraiyur Village, Arulmigu Maruthudaiya Ayyanar Thirukovil at Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District on 19.06.2022 between 06.00 p.m., to 10.00 p.m by considering the petitioner's representation dated 13.06.2022.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S.Mohan Raj
For Respondents	: Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
	Government Advocate (Crl.side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to grant permission to conduct Adal and Padal program on 19.06.2022 at Arulmigu Maruthudaiya Ayyanar Thirukovil at Thuraiyur Village, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District and to give necessary police protection to the said program.

2.Mr.M.Sakthi kumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that a Festival for the temple, by name, Arulmigu Maruthudaiya Ayyanar Thirukovil at Thuraiyur Village, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District is going to be held on 19.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 13.06.2022. But the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondents police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondents police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 13.06.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AD-II)

// True Copy //

17/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

tta

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.



2.The Inspector of Police,
K.Pudupatti Police Station,
Thirumayam,
Pudukkottai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P (MD) No.11979 of 2022
16.06.2022

KG (CO)

GC (17.06.2022) 3P 4C