



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **14.06.2022**

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.11906 of 2022

Arunachalam

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Sambattividuthi Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant necessary permission and provide adequate police protection for conducting the village Cultural Programme on 15.06.2022 at about 7.00 p.m to 11.00 p.m., as part of temple festival of Arulmighu Porpanai Muneeshwarar Temple at Kandangaranpatti, Vadavalam Post, Pudukkottai District by considering the petitioner's representation dated 09.06.2022.

For Petitioner : M/s.N.Sumitha

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar


Government Advocate (crl.side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant permission to conduct Adal and Padal program on 15.06.2022 at Arulmighu Porpanai Muneeshwarar Temple at Kandangaranpatti, Vadavalam Post, Pudukkottai District and to give necessary police protection to the said program.

2.Mr.Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that a Festival for the temple, by name, Arulmighu Porpanai



Muneeshwarar Temple at Kandanganpatti, Vadavalam Post, Pudukkottai District, is going to be held on 15.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 09.06.2022. But the respondents have not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the respondents have received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondents police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 09.06.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (W)

// True Copy //

14/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

tta

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Sambattividuthi Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.



3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P (MD) No.11906 of 2022
14.06.2022

WEB COPY

KM(CO)

GC(14.06.2022) 3P 4C