



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:14.06.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.11696 of 2022

Venkatesh,

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Tiruchirappaalli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Puthanatham Police Station,
Puthanatham,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to grant permission and protection for cultural Adal Padal Program on 24.6.2022 of Kumbabisega Vizha of Sri Mariamman Sri Bhagavathiamman Kovil situated at Perumampatti, Pannappatti Melpagam Village, Manapparai Taluk, Trichy District, by considering the petitioner's representation, dated 08.06.2022.


For Petitioner	:	M/s.Thiyagarajan.A,
For Respondents	:	Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
		Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant permission and protection for cultural Adal Padal Program on 24.6.2022 of Kumbabisega Vizha of Sri Mariamman Sri Bhagavathiamman Kovil situated at Perumampatti, Pannappatti Melpagam Village, Manapparai Taluk, Trichy District, by considering the petitioner's representation, dated 08.06.2022.

2.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple festival for the temple, by name, Sri Mariamman Sri Bhagavathiamman Kovil situated at Perumampatti, Pannappatti Melpagam



Village, Manapparai Taluk, Trichy District is going to be held on 24.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving representations dated 04.06.2022 and 08.06.2022. But, the respondents have not considered the said representations. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the respondents have received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 08.06.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

// True Copy //

/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

lr

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Tiruchirappaalli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Puthanatham Police Station,
Puthanatham,
Trichy District.



3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

WEB COPY

W.P (MD) No.11696 of 2022
14.06.2022

NSN (CO)
KB (23.06.2022) 3P 4C