



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated: 21.01.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE G.JAYACHANDRAN

WEB COPY

W.P. (MD)No.13929 of 2019

and

W.M.P. (MD)No.10413 of 2019

Seela, D/o.Eskilin

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli City,
Tirunelveli.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Palayamkottai Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondents

[R4 impleaded vide order dated 21.01.2022, in
W.M.P. (MD)No.11003 of 2019]


Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the third respondent or his subordinates from in any way harassing ill-treating, threatening or distrusting to close down her Beauty Parlour and Massage Centre in the name and style of 'New Trend Ladies and Gents Beauty Parlour' in Door No.34/2, (1st Floor), S.T.C. College Road, TNHB Colony, Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli, based on the petitioner's representation, dated 11.06.2019.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Susi Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The Writ Petition is filed seeking Mandamus forbearing the third respondent Police from anyway harassing, ill-treating and threatening the petitioner herein from running Beauty Parlour /



Massage Centre in the given address. Since the place of the business falls within the jurisdiction of Perumalpuram Police Station, the petitioner has filed impleading petition in W.M.P.(MD) No.11003 of 2019 to implead the Inspector of Police, Perumalpuram Police Station, Tirunelveli District, as fourth respondent and the said Petition has been allowed by order dated 21.01.2022.

2. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that neither the Palayamkottai Police nor the Perumalpuram Police have any intention to interfere or harass the petitioner, if the petitioner herein is going to carry on Beauty Parlour business lawfully and within the permitted parameters. However, they should not be prevented from taking action against the petitioner if any cognizable offence is made out or any untoward incident come to the knowledge of the Police.

3. This Court finds that the submission of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor is fair and in accordance with the legal procedure. Hence, this Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent Police that if the petitioner's Beauty Parlour is run in accordance with law without any violation, the same shall not be interfered.

4. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (W)

// True Copy //

/ /2022
Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

smn2

To

- 1.The Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli City,
Tirunelveli.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Palayamkottai Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.



4.The Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P. (MD) No.13929 of 2019
21.01.2022

MGJ(10.02.2022) 3P 6C