



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **10.06.2022**

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P (MD) No.11380 of 2022

B.Muthusamy

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Periyakulam, Theni District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thenkarai Police Station,
Periyakulam, Theni District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the "Adal Padal" Programme on 12.06.2022 at 6.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. in connection with "Thiru Vizha" of Arulmigu Sri Kochsadaï Muthaiah Swamy, Sri Ponnalagu Swamy, Thirukovil at Saruthupatti, Periyakulam Taluk, Theni District.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Manikandan

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to conduct the "Adal Padal" Programme on 12.06.2022 at 6.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. in connection with "Thiru Vizha" of Arulmigu Sri Kochsadaï Muthaiah Swamy, Sri Ponnalagu Swamy, Thirukovil at Saruthupatti, Periyakulam Taluk, Theni District.

2.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that Thiru Vizha for the temple, by name, Arulmigu Sri Kochsadaï Muthaiah Swamy, Sri Ponnalagu Swamy, Thirukovil situated at Saruthupatti, Periyakulam Taluk, Theni District is going to be held on 12.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 30.05.2022. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above



4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 30.05.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

// True Copy //

10/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Lr

To

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Periyakulam, Theni District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thenkarai Police Station,
Periyakulam,Theni District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

W.P(MD)No.11380 of 2022

10.06.2022

USK/10.06.2022/2P/4C