



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.06.2022

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.10177 of 2022

1. Thandeeswaran
2. Muthu @ Muthuirulaye
(wrongly mentioned as Muthu
in Crime No.899 of 2022 instead
of Muthuirulaye)
3. Reena
4. Pama @ Sathiyapama
(wrongly mentioned as Pama
in Crime No.899 of 2022 instead
of Sathiyapama)

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
C3, S.S.Colony Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No.899 of 2022)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.S.Vanchinathan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side).


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.899 of 2022 on the file of the
Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A.1 to A.4, who apprehend arrest at the hands
of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections
294(b) and 323 IPC and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of
Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.899 of 2022 on the file of the
respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



2.The case of the prosecution is that there existed wordy quarrel between the parties regarding the placing of dust. On 18.05.2022, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and her daughter and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the case in counter is pending in Crime No.898 of 2022 against the defacto complainant.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured was already discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the above facts and circumstances and also the facts that the injured was already discharged from the hospital, that except the offence under Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, all other offences are bailable in nature and that the case in counter is pending, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.


6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.V, Madurai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners 1 and 2 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation and the petitioners 3 and 4 shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
09/06/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.V, MADURAI.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
C3, S.S.COLONY POLICE STATION,
MADURAI CITY.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.VANCHINATHAN, Advocate (SR-5310[I] dated 09/06/2022)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10177 of 2022
Date : 09/06/2022

csm
USK/PN/SAR-I/14.06.2022/3P/6C