



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **08.06.2022**

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P (MD)No.11170 of 2022

V.Dharmalingam

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Palani,
Dindigul District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palani Taluk Police Station,
Neykarapatti,
Dindigul District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to grant permission to perform the 'Adal Padal' cultural program on 08.06.2022 night at about 6.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. at Neykarapatti Village, Arulmigu Sri Uchakaliamman and Suyambu Sri Mandukaliamman Temple, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District and to provide adequate police protection based on the petitioner's representation, dated 26.05.2022.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.K.Sridhar
For Respondents	:	Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar, Government Advocate (crl.side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant permission to perform the 'Adal Padal' cultural program on 08.06.2022 night at about 6.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. at Neykarapatti Village, Arulmigu Sri Uchakaliamman and Suyambu Sri Mandukaliamman Temple, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District and to provide adequate police protection based on the petitioner's representation dated 26.05.2022.

2. Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple festival for the temple, by name, Neykarapatti Village, Arulmigu Sri Uchakaliamman and Suyambu Sri Mandukaliamman Temple situated at Palani Taluk, Dindigul District is going to be held on 08.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving representation dated 26.05.2022. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4. The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 26.05.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (RTI)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Btr

To

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Palani, Dindigul District.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



2. The Inspector of Police,
Palani Taluk Police Station,
Neykarapatti,
Dindigul District.

3. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

W.P (MD) No.11170 of 2022

08.06.2022

USK/08.06.2022/3P/4C