



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.06.2022

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P (MD)No.11127 of 2022

Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District,
Trichy.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Jambunathapuram Police Station,
Jambunathapuram,
Trichy District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to give permission and adequate police protection for conducting Adal Padal Dance Programme schedule to be held on 10.06.2022 in the eve of Arulmigu 'Sri Maha Mariyamman Temple' festival at Sinthampatti Village, Musiri Taluk, Trichy District on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 24.05.2022.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.K.Arunraj
For Respondents	:	Mr.M.Sakthikumar
		Government Advocate (crl.side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to give permission and adequate police protection for conducting Adal Padal Dance Programme schedule to be held on 10.06.2022 in the eve of Arulmigu 'Sri Maha Mariyamman Temple' festival at Sinthampatti Village, Musiri Taluk, Trichy District on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 24.05.2022.

2.Mr.M.Sakthikumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple festival, by name, Sri Maha Mariyamman Temple situated at Sinthampatti Village, Musiri Taluk, Trichy District is going to



be held on 10.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 24.05.2022. But the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 24.05.2022_and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Admin I)

// True Copy //

09/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vsd

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District,
Trichy.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Jambunathapuram Police Station,
Jambunathapuram,
Trichy District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P (MD)No.11127 of 2022
09.06.2022

MGJ(09.06.2022) 2P 4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>