



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.06.2022

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.11134 of 2022

P.Balasubramanian

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Sattur,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Alangkulam Police Station,
Alangkulam,
Virudhunagar District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to accord permission to the Adal Padal Programme to be held on 15.06.2022 at about 06.00 p.m. to be conducted at Arulmighu Sri Kaliyamman Temple at Kammappatti, Vembakkottai Taluk, Virudhunagar District based on the petitioner's representation dated 06.06.2022.


For Petitioner : Mr.S.Krishnan
For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthikumar
Government Advocate (crl.side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to accord permission to the Adal Padal Programme to be held on 15.06.2022 at about 06.00 p.m. to be conducted at Arulmighu Sri Kaliyamman Temple at Kammappatti, Vembakkottai Taluk, Virudhunagar District based on the petitioner's representation dated 06.06.2022.

2.Mr.M.Sakthikumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple festival, by name, Arulmighu Sri Kaliyamman Temple situated at Kammappatti, Vembakkottai Taluk, Virudhunagar District is going to be held on 15.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to



conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 06.06.2022. But the respondents have not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the respondents have received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 06.06.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CRL SIDE)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vsd

To

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Sattur,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Alankulam Police Station,
Alankulam,
Virudhunagar District.



3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

WEB COPY

W.P (MD) No.11134 of 2022
09.06.2022

MA (CO)
TR(13.06.2022) 3P 4C