



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.06.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P (MD) No.11161 of 2022

N.Ponnusamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.
Dindigul.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palani Taluk Police Station,
Palani,
Dindigul District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant permission to conduct the temple festival in Arulmigu Sri Utchikaliyamman Thirukovil, Lakshmipuram, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District for the Thiruvizha held on 09.06.2022 to consider the petitioner's representation dated 30.05.2022 consequently the respondents to give adequate police protection to Gramiya Nattupura Kalai Program on 09.06.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Ramasamy

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthikumar

Government Advocate (crl.side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant permission to conduct the temple festival in Arulmigu Sri Utchikaliyamman Thirukovil, Lakshmipuram, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District for the Thiruvizha held on 09.06.2022 to consider the petitioner's representation dated 30.05.2022 consequently the respondents to give adequate police protection to Gramiya Nattupura Kalai Program on 09.06.2022.

2.Mr.M.Sakthikumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple festival, by name, Arulmigu Sri Utchikaliyamman Thirukovil situated at Lakshmipuram, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District is going to be held on 09.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 30.05.2022. But the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 30.05.2022_and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (C.O)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

vsd

To

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.
Dindigul.



2. The Inspector of Police,
Palani Taluk Police Station,
Palani,
Dindigul District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P (MD) No.11161 of 2022

09.06.2022

USK/09.06.2022/3P/4C