



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:08.06.2022

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM**

**W.P(MD)No.11061 of 2022**

K.Jayaprakash

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,  
Madurai District, Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Othakadai Police Station,  
Madurai District.

... Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent to grant permission and protection for conducting Adal Padal program, scheduled to be conducted on 11.06.2022 in the eve of Masi Kalari Aatam festival in A.Pudhur village, East Taluk, Madurai District.

For Petitioner : M/s Kishok Kumar.V.S,  
For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

**O R D E R**

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to grant permission and protection for conducting Adal Padal program, scheduled to be held on 11.06.2022 in the eve of Masi Kalari Aatam festival in A.Pudhur village, East Taluk, Madurai District.

2.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that Masi Kalari Aatam festival for the temple, by name, Kula Deivam Temple for Aindhu Karai situated at A.Pudhur village, East Taluk, Madurai District is going to be held from 01.06.2022 to 12.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme on 11.06.2022, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 27.05.2022. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the second respondent has



received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 27.05.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

10/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

lr

To:

1. The Superintendent of Police,  
Madurai District, Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Othakadai Police Station,  
Madurai District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.V.S.KISHOK KUMAR, Advocate ( SR-24950[F] dated 09/06/2022 )

**W.P(MD)No.11061 of 2022**

**08.06.2022**

RD(10.06.2022) 2P 5C