



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.06.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.10926 of 2022

P.Kumaravel

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
F1, Police Station,
Peraiyur,
Madurai District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to grant police protection and permission to conduct the Aadal Paadal cultural Programme in the Arulmigu Sri Kamatchiamman Temple, Silaimalaipatti Village, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District on 11.06.2022 between 7.00 p.m to 10.00 p.m by considering the petitioner's representation dated 16.05.2022 and 28.05.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Karmega Kannan

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (crl.side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to grant police protection and permission to conduct the Aadal Paadal cultural programme in the Arulmigu Sri Kamatchiamman Temple, Silaimalaipatti Village, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District on 11.06.2022 between 7.00 p.m to 10.00 p.m by considering the petitioner's representations dated 16.05.2022 and 28.05.2022.



2.Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner seeks permission for conducting the Aadal Paadal cultural programme on 11.06.2022 between 7.00 p.m to 10.00 p.m at Arulmigu Sri Kamatchiamman Temple, Silaimalaipatti Village, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representations dated 16.05.2022 and 28.05.2022. But the respondents have not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents police would submit that the respondents have received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representations of the petitioner dated 16.05.2022 and 28.05.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

09/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

ebsi



To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District,
Madurai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
F1, Police Station,
Peraiyur,
Madurai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.J.KARMEGA KANNAN, Advocate (SR-24439[F] dated
07/06/2022)

W.P (MD) No.10926 of 2022
07.06.2022

MGJ(09.06.2022) 3P 5C