



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:08.06.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.10985 of 2022

Murugan,

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Theni,
Theni District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Allinagaram Police Station,
Allinagaram,
Theni District.

... Respondents


PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to accord permission to the Adal Padal Program to be held on 14.06.2022 at about 06.00 P.M to be conducted at the Arulmighu Sri Soundararaja Perumal Temple at Vadapudhupatti, Periyakulam Taluk, Theni District based on the petitioner's representation, dated 04.06.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr. S.Krishnan.
For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthikumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to accord permission to the Adal Padal Program to be held on 14.06.2022 at about 06.00 P.M to be conducted at the Arulmighu Sri Soundararaja Perumal Temple at Vadapudhupatti, Periyakulam Taluk, Theni District based on the petitioner's representation, dated 04.06.2022.

2.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that Urshava Thiruvizha for the temple, by name, Arulmighu Sri Soundararaja Perumal Temple at Vadapudhupatti, Periyakulam Taluk, Theni District is going to be held on 14.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving representations dated 31.05.2022 and 04.06.2022. But, the respondents have not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the respondents have received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 04.06.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-III)

// True Copy //

13/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

lr



To

1. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Theni,
Theni District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Allinagaram Police Station,
Allinagaram,
Theni District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P (MD) No.10985 of 2022
08.06.2022

nsn(CO)
TR(13.06.2022) 3P 4C