



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.06.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.P(MD)No.10768 of 2022

T.Suresh

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police,
Pudukottai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Veralimalai Police Station,
Puthukottai District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to grant permission to the petitioner for conducting festival at Arulmigu Sri Karunkuzhi Karuppu Samy temple on 03.06.2022, 22.07.2022 and 29.07.2022 situated at Nambampatti Village, Viralimalai Taluk, Puthukottai District on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 28.05.2022.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.R.Karunanidhi
For Respondents	:	Mr.R.Suresh Kumar
		Government Advocate (crl.side)

O R D E R

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to grant permission to conduct Adal and Padal program on 03.06.2022, 22.07.2022 and 29.07.2022 at Arulmigu Sri Karunkuzhi Karuppu Samy temple, Nambampatti Village, Viralimalai Taluk, Puthukottai District and to give necessary police protection to the said program.

2.Mr.R.Sureshkumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that a Festival for the temple, by name, Arulmigu Sri Karunkuzhi Karuppu Samy temple, Nambampatti Village, Viralimalai Taluk, Puthukottai District is going to be held on 03.06.2022, 22.07.2022



and 29.07.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 28.05.2022. But the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondents police would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondents police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 28.05.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (P&A)

// True Copy //

08/06/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

tta

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police,
Pudukottai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Verlimalalai Police Station,
Puthukottai District.



3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P (MD) No.10768 of 2022

07.06.2022

SVS (CO)

GC(08.06.2022) 3P 4C