



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 13.06.2022
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.9845 of 2022

1. Vinothraja
2. Juliet Thangam ... Petitioners/Accused Nos. 1 & 2

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Kodaikanal Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.137 of 2022)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.T.PALANISAMY,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.THANGA ARAVINDH,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side).

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.137 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A.1 & A.2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 307 and 506(2) IPC, in Crime No.137 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and one Moses Abiraham were in love and when the defacto complainant's parents search the alliance for the defacto complainant, she told them that she would marry the said Moses Abiraham. Due to that, the petitioners and the third accused forced the defacto complainant to drink vinter green oil. Thereafter, the defacto complainant was taken to the hospital by her grandfather. Hence, the complaint.

3.Admittedly, the first petitioner is the maternal uncle, the second petitioner is the mother and the third accused is the father of the defacto complainant.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.



5.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured was already discharged from the hospital.

6.Considering the above facts and circumstances and also the facts that the injured was already discharged from the hospital and that except the offences under Sections 307 and 506(2) IPC, all other offences are bailable in nature, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Kodaikanal, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
13/06/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II
KODAIKANAL.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KODAIKANAL POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.PALANISAMY.T Advocate SR.No.5447

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.9845 of 2022

Date :13/06/2022

SA/PN/SAR.3/16.06.2022/3P/6C