



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.06.2022

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM**

**W.P(MD)No.10739 of 2022**

G.Sasikumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,  
Trichy District,  
Trichy.

2.The Inspector of Police,  
Jambunathapuram Police Station,  
Jambunathapuram,  
Trichy District.

...Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to give permission and adequate police protection for conducting ''Adal Padal Dance Programme'' schedule to be held on 06.06.2022 in the eve of Arulmigu ''Sree Pattanathu Mariamman Temple' festival at Pudu Colony, Thulaiyanatham, Musiri Taluk, Trichy District on the basis of petitioner's representation dated 25.05.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Arunraj

For Respondents : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar

Government Advocate (crl.side)

**O R D E R**

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to give permission and adequate police protection for conducting ''Adal Padal Dance Programme'' schedule to be held on 06.06.2022 in the eve of Arulmigu ''Sree Pattanathu Mariamman Temple' festival at Pudu Colony, Thulaiyanatham, Musiri Taluk, Trichy District on the basis of petitioner's representation dated 25.05.2022.

2.Mr.R.Sureshkumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

<https://hservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcse/vices/>



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that temple festival, by name, 'Sree Pattanathu Mariamman Temple' situated at Pudu Colony, Thulaiyanatham, Musiri Taluk, Trichy District, is going to be held on 06.06.2022. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 25.05.2022. But the respondent police has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the respondent police has received the representation of the petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5.I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated 09.04.2019 along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent police is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 25.05.2022 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Writs)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vsd



To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,  
Trichy District,  
Trichy.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
Jambunathapuram Police Station,  
Jambunathapuram,  
Trichy District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

**W.P (MD) No.10739 of 2022**  
**06.06.2022**

ac (CO)  
TR(07.06.2022) 3P 4C