



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.(MD).No.486 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.12.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.R.C.(MD).No.486 of 2022

and

Crl.M.P.(MD) No.6148 of 2022

Rajendran

... Petitioner / Petitioner / Respondent

Vs.

1. Gayathri

2. Minor Hari Ganeska

3. Minor Hamsha Varthini

(Minor Respondents 2 and 3 are represented
by their mother and natural guardian

1st respondent herein)

... Respondents / Respondents /
Petitioners

PRAYER: This Civil Revision Case is filed under Sections 397 r/w 401 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records pertaining to the order dated 23.04.2022 passed in Cr.M.P. Nos.919 and 920 of 2021 in M.C.No.14 of 2019 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Vadipatti, and set aside the same with regard to the condition directing the petitioner to deposit Rs.2,00,000/- before the trial Court within 30 days.



Crl.R.C.(MD).No.486 of 2022

For Petitioner : No Appearance

For Respondents : Mr. A.R.Kannappan

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Case has been filed to call for the records pertaining to the order dated 23.04.2022 passed in Cr.M.P. Nos.919 and 920 of 2021 in M.C.No.14 of 2019 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Vadipatti, and set aside the same with regard to the condition directing the petitioner to deposit Rs.2,00,000/- before the trial Court within 30 days.

2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

3. The learned Counsel for the Respondent would submit that the condition passed by this Court is not complied by the revision petitioner.

4. Even at the time of admission, by order dated 19.05.2022, the petitioner was directed to deposit Rs.75,000/- out of the above said Rs.2,00,000/-, but that was not complied by the petitioner. Even the matter was referred to the mediation, wherein also it could not be succeed. When



Crl.R.C.(MD).No.486 of 2022

the matter was listed on 01.12.2022, the Registry was directed to print the name of the petitioner in cause list, but it was not complied by the Registry, even then there is no representation for the petitioner.

5. In the view of the above, I find no reason to entertain this Revision. Hence, this Revision Case is dismissed. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

09.12.2022

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
indu



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.(MD).No.486 of 2022

G.ILANGOVAN,J.

indu

To

The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.R.C.(MD).No.486 of 2022

09.12.2022