



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.05.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.S.RAMESH**

W.P. (MD)No.9383 of 2022

WEB COPY

M/s.Mary Beck Rental Solutions,
Represented by its
Partner.L.Arul Roache,
S/o.Lurudusamy.

.. Petitioner

Vs

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Rameshwaram Town Police Station,
Rameshwaram,
Ramanathapuram District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent herein not to interfere the petitioner two wheeler rental business in the name style M/s. Mary Beck Rental Solutions by considering the petitioner's representation dated 02.05.2022 in accordance with law within the time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Balamurugapandi
For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

ORDER

By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.Since the petitioner's request to the respondents not to interfere with his Two Wheeler Rental business in the name and style of M/s. Mary Beck Rental Solutions was not considered, the Writ Petition has been filed. According to the petitioner, he has made a representation in this regard on 02.05.2022, which is still pending.

3. It is needless to point out that whenever a representation of this nature is made to a Statutory Authority, there is a duty cast upon the respondents to consider the same on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in one way or other, instead of keeping the same pending indefinitely. As such, non-



consideration of the representation by the Statutory Authority would amount to dereliction of duty and hence this Court will be justified in invoking its extraordinary powers under Article 226 of Constitution of India and direct them to consider the same within a stipulated time.

WEB COPY

4. In the light of the above observations, it would be appropriate to direct the second respondent herein to consider the petitioner's representation, dated 02.05.2022, within a stipulated time and thereby the ends of justice could be secured. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any of its view with regard to the merits of the claim of the petitioner and that it is open to the concerned respondent to consider the same on its own merits.

5. Accordingly, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to consider the petitioner's representation, dated 02.05.2022, on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Vacation Officer/
Assistant Registrar (Records)

// True Copy //


/ /2022
Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

trp

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the Advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram.



2.The Inspector of Police,
Rameshwaram Town Police Station,
Rameshwaram,
Ramanathapuram District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.D. BALAMURUGAPANDI, Advocate (SR-23549[F]
dated 06/05/2022)

W.P. (MD)No.9383 of 2022
06.05.2022

CK (CO)
GC (24.05.2022) 3P 5C