



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.05.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P. [MD]Nos.8762 & 8764 of 2022

WEB COPY

1.R.Harikrishnan
2.C.Senthilkumar
3.C.Ganesh Moorthy
4.N.Sudhakar

... Petitioners / Accused Nos.1 to 4
in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.8762/2022

1.Chandrasekar
2.Thiyagarajan

... Petitioners / Accused Nos.1 & 2
in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.8764/2022

Vs.

1.The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Theppakulam Police Station,
Madurai.
Crime Nos.172 & 173/2022.

... 1st Respondent / Complainant
in both Crl.O.Ps.

2.Chandrasekar

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant
in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.8762/2022

3.Umarani

.. 2nd Respondent / Defacto Complainant
in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.8764/2022

COMMON PRAYER : Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. to call for the records in connection with Crime Nos.172 & 173 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Sujai Krishna
(in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.8762/2022)

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Veerapandi Selvaraj
(in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.8764/2022)

For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthikumar,
(In both Crl.O.Ps) Government Advocate.

For R2 : Mr.Mr.S.Veerapandi Selvaraj
(in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.8762/2022)



For R2 : Mr.P.Sujai Krishna
(in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.8764/2022)

COMMON ORDER

These Criminal Original Petitions are filed to quash the FIR in Crime Nos.172 & 173 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2. The petitioners and the second respondent in both the Criminal Original Petitions are relatives. Due to family dispute, there was a quarrel between the parties. Hence, the second respondent in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8762 of 2022 has given a complaint and the same was registered in Crime No.172 of 2022. As a counter blast, the second respondent in Crl.O.P.(MD)no.8764 of 2022 has given a complaint and the same was registered in Crime No.173 of 2022. Hence, the petitioners in both the petitions have come forward with these petitions to quash the FIRs in Crime Nos.172 & 173 of 2022.

3. When the matter came up for hearing, the petitioners and the second respondent in both the petitions are present. They have submitted that they have settled the dispute amicably between themselves and they have filed memos of compromise to that effect. The said Joint memos of compromise filed by the petitioners and the second respondent are recorded and taken on file.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that both parties have settled the issues amongst themselves, this Court is inclined to quash the FIRs in Crime Nos.172 & 173 of 2022 against the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions are allowed and the FIRs in Crime No.172 & 173 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent police are quashed. The Compromise memos filed by the petitioners and the second respondent in both the criminal original petitions shall form part of this common order.

Sd/-

Vacation Officer/
Assistant Registrar

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

VSM

Encl.: xerox copy of Joint Compromise memo.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Theppakulam Police Station,
Madurai.

WEB COPY

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

CRL.O.P. [MD]Nos.8762 & 8764 of 2022
18.05.2022

kg (CO)

TR(25.05.2022) 3P 3C