



HCP(MD)No.691 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 28.10.2022

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE J. NISHA BANU
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH**

H.C.P.(MD)No.691 of 2022

Thangeswaran

.. Petitioner/Detenu

Vs

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort. St. George,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
O/o.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Madurai District.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison,
Madurai District.

..Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records relating to the detention order passed by the 2nd Respondent in Detention order No.B.C.D.F.G.I.S.S.S.V.No.25/22 dated 20.04.2022 and quash the same



HCP(MD)No.691 of 2022

and direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detenu by name, Thangeswaran, S/o.Thangaraj @ Pongapanai, aged 32 years, now confined as “Sexual Offender” at Central Prison, Madurai before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Alagumani

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar

Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

J. NISHA BANU,J.

and

N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Thangeswaran, S/o.Thangaraj @ Pongapanai, aged 32 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Detention Order No.B.C.D.F.G.I.S.S.V.No. 25/22 dated 20.04.2022 holding him to be a "Sexual Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(ggg) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition by filing his counter. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.



HCP(MD)No.691 of 2022

WEB COPY

5.The Detention Order in question was passed on 20.04.2022. The petitioner made a representation dated 28.04.2022. The remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority on 05.05.2022. The remarks were duly received on 23.05.2022. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 30.05.2022.

6.It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 17 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority, of which 6 days were Government holidays and hence there was an inordinate delay of 11 days in submitting the remarks. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the remarks were received on 23.05.2022 and there was a delay of 4 days, in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which, 2 days were Government Holidays and hence, there was inordinate delay of 2 days in considering the representation.



7. In *Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu (2011 (5) SCC 244*), the

Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.

8. In *Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145*), a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In *Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others*, reported in **1980 (2) SCC 321, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.**

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 11 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 2 days in considering the representation



HCP(MD)No.691 of 2022

by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department.

The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

11.In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Detention Order No.B.C.D.F.G.I.S.S.S.V.No.25/22 dated 20.04.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Thangeswaran, S/o.Thangaraj @ Pongapanai, aged 32 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(J.N.B.,J.) (N.A.V.,J.)
28.10.2022

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes
PJJ



HCP(MD)No.691 of 2022

To:

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to the Government,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai – 9.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
O/o. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Madurai District.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison,
Madurai District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.691 of 2022

J. NISHA BANU,J.

and

N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.

PJL

H.C.P.(MD)No.691 of 2022

28.10.2022