



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29.04.2022

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.8326 of 2022

1.Karthick
2.Gowtham
3.Veeralakshmi

... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 3

Vs

State Rep.by
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.81 of 2022)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.B.Vinothkumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side).

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.81 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The learned counsel for the petitioners has filed a memo, dated 29.04.2022, to correct the Crime No.81 of 2022 instead of Crime No.82 of 2022 and the same is recorded.

2.The petitioners/A.1 to A.3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 307 IPC and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.81 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

3.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.



4.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the case in counter is pending in Crime No.82 of 2022 against the defacto complainant.

WEB COPY

5.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured was already discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that the first petitioner is having seven previous cases and that the petitioners 2 and 3 are having one previous case.

6.Considering the facts that there existed previous enmity between the parties, that the injured was already discharged from the hospital, that except the offences under Sections 307 IPC and 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, all other offences are bailable in nature and that the case in counter is pending, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti, Madurai District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
29/04/2022

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VADIPATTI,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

3 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SAMAYANALLUR POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.B.VINOTH KUMAR, Advocate (SR-4131[I] dated 29/04/2022)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8326 of 2022
Date :29/04/2022

RS/SVR/SAR.2 (10.05.2022) 3P-6C