



C.R.P(PD)(MD).No.1010 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.07.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **B.PUGALENDHI**

C.R.P(PD)(MD).No.1010 of 2022

Ganesan

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.Indirani
- 2.Iyyan Thiruvalluvar Kalvikoodam,
Kalvi Niruvanam Periyar Arakkattalai,
represented by its Managing Trustee
- 3.Vasanthi
- 4.Ravichandran
- 5.State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by its District Collector,
Dindigul District.
- 6.The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul District.
- 7.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul.
- 8.The Tahsildar,
Dindigul East Circle,
Dindigul.
- 9.The Village Administrative Officer,
Adiyanuthu Revenue Village,
Dindigul.



C.R.P(PD)(MD).No.1010 of 2022

WEB COPY

10.The Revenue Inspector,
Adiyanuthu Village,
Dindigul East Circle,
Dindigul.

11.The District Registrar,
Dindigul District-624 004.

12.The Sub Registrar,
Nagalnayakkanpatti,
Dindigul-624004.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petitions filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to direct the learned Additional District Munsif Court, Dindigul to expedite the disposal of the petition in O.S.No.434 of 2018.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Lawrance
For Respondents : Mr.A.Mohan for R1
Mr.G.V.Vairam Santhosh
Additional Government Pleader
for R5 to R12
No appearance for R2 to R4

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition has been filed seeking for a direction to the learned Additional District Munsif Court, Dindigul, to dispose of the case in O.S.No.434 of 2018, within the time frame.



C.R.P(PD)(MD).No.1010 of 2022

WEB COPY

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the first respondent had filed the suit in O.S.No. 434 of 2018 on the file of the Additional District Munsif Court, Dindigul. Though there was no progress in the suit for the past four years, even the defendants have not filed the written statement. Hence, the present petition has been filed seeking for appropriate direction.

3. The learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the petitioner is the third defendant in the suit and he has also not filed his written statement. On perusal of B-diary extract, it shows that there is no proof for filing of the written statement, though the written statement was enclosed along with the typed set of papers.

4. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of this case, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed. No Costs.

07.07.2022

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes
Speaking/Non-speaking order
tta



C.R.P(PD)(MD).No.1010 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

1. District Collector,
Dindigul District.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul.
4. The Tahsildar,
Dindigul East Circle,
Dindigul.
5. The Village Administrative Officer,
Adiyanuthu Revenue Village,
Dindigul.
6. The Revenue Inspector,
Adiyanuthu Village,
Dindigul East Circle,
Dindigul.
7. The District Registrar,
Dindigul District-624 004.
8. The Sub Registrar,
Nagalnayakkanpatti,
Dindigul-624004.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(PD)(MD).No.1010 of 2022

B.PUGALENDHI,J

tta

Order made in
C.R.P.(PD)(MD).No.1010 of 2022

Dated:
07.07.2022