



**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

**DATED : 01.03.2022**

**CORAM :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR**

**W.P. (MD)No.7646 of 2020**

**and**

**W.M.P. (MD)Nos.7139, 7140 and 7142 of 2020**

T.Sathish

...Petitioner

/Vs./

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,  
Government of Tamil Nadu,  
Home Department, Fort St.George,  
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.

2.The Director General of Police,  
O/o.The Director General of Police,  
No.1, Dr.Radhakrishnan Road,  
Mylapore, Chennai-600 004.

3.The Superintendent of Police,  
O/o.The Superintendent of Police,  
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

4.The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
O/o.The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

5.The Inspector of Police,  
Virusvedu Police Station,  
Dindigul District.

6.Sarath Kumar

7.Thangapandi

8.Anbarasan

...Respondents

Prayer:Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents 1 to 5 to take appropriate action against the respondents 6 to 8 by considering the petitioner's representation dated 13.06.2020.

For Petitioner

: Mr.S.M.A.Jinnah

For R1 to R5

: Mr.T.Senthil Kumar

Additional Public Prosecutor

For R6 to R8

: Mr.B.Saravanan



ORDER

The Writ Petition has been filed to direct the respondents 1 to 5 to take appropriate action against the respondents 6 to 8 by considering the petitioner's representation dated 13.06.2020.

2.The grievance of the petitioner is that on the complaint given by one Murugan, the petitioner was taken to the Police Station and there was a physical violence by the respondents 6 to 8. Hence, he sought for a direction to the respondents 1 to 5 to take appropriate action against the respondents 6 to 8.

3.It is stated by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 6 to 8 that the petitioner called to the Police Station for enquiry of the alleged harassment on the 16 years old minor girl.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents 1 to 5, on instructions, would submit that based on the complaint given by the writ petitioner, an enquiry was conducted as against the respondents 6 to 8 and punishment of deferred black mark for 6 months was also imposed.

5.In such view of the matter, as the disciplinary proceedings initiated and punishment was imposed as per the rules, no further order is required in this Writ Petition. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2022


Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Myr

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,  
Government of Tamil Nadu,  
Home Department, Fort St.George,  
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.



2.The Director General of Police,  
O/o.The Director General of Police,  
No.1, Dr.Radhakrishnan Road,  
Mylapore, Chennai-600 004.

3.The Superintendent of Police,  
O/o.The Superintendent of Police,  
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

4.The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
O/o.The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

5.The Inspector of Police,  
Viruvedu Police Station,  
Dindigul District.

6.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.B.SARAVANAN, Advocate ( SR-9512[F] dated 02/03/2022 )

**W.P. (MD)No.7646 of 2020**

**01.03.2022**

\_RD(09.03.2022) 3P 8C