



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21/04/2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.7412 of 2022

WEB COPY

1.Velladurai
2.Panjavarnam

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 & 2

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Ramanathapuram Town Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.79/2022)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.Susi Kumar.C.,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 79 of 2022 on the file of the respondent Police.


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 & A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294 (b), 323, 324 and 506(1) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.79 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute between the first accused and the de-facto complainant, the petitioners said to have abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language, attacked her with iron rod and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that the counter case in Crime No.80 of 2022 has been registered against the defacto complainant.



5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the facts that there existed family dispute between the parties, that the injured was discharged from the Hospital, that the petitioners are not having any bad antecedents and also the fact that the counter case in crime No.80 of 2022 is pending against the de-facto complainant and party, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

(b)the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the second petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(d)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(g)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

21/04/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

WEB COPY

- TO
- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I
RAMANATHAPURAM
 - 2 DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
 - 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
RAMANATHAPURAM TOWN POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
 - 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7412 of 2022
Date :21/04/2022

RS/PN/SAR.4 (26.04.2022) 3P-5C