



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21/04/2022

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.7391 of 2022

1. Muthukumar
2. Karthick
3. Vijay

... Petitioners/Accused
Rank Not Known

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Pudukottai Town Police Station,
Pudukottai District.
(Crime No.174 of 2022).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.Selvakumar V, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.174 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 294 (b), 506(1) IPC and Section 67 of Information Technology Act 2000, in Crime No. 174 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner criticize the defacto complainant's community and typed the same and uploaded the said message in whats-app status. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the defacto complainant pledged his jewels with the first petitioner, subsequently, there arose some dispute between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to that, the first petitioner along with the other accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also threatened him with dire consequences. He would further submit that the investigation is still pending.

5. Considering the seriousness and gravity of the offence allegedly committed by the petitioners and also the fact that the investigation is pending, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners at that point of time. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
21/04/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.II)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

DAS

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PUDUKOTTAI TOWN POLICE STATION,
PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT.
2. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7391 of 2022
Date :21/04/2022

SP/SVR/SAR II/26/04/2022/2P/3C