



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19/04/2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.7189 of 2022

1. Venkateswaran
2. Karunakaran

... Petitioners/Accused 1 & 2

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.133/2022).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.P.Kalaiyarasi Bharathi, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.133 of 2022 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 & A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294 (b), 323 and 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.133 of 2022, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the parties regarding the temple festival, due to which, the petitioners abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language and attacked her with hands and also caused injuries. Hence the complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the counter case is pending against the de-facto complainant and party.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) would submit that no one has been injured seriously in this case.

5.Considering the facts that there existed temple dispute between the parties, that no one has been injured seriously in this case, that except the offence under Section 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, all other offences are bailable in nature and also the fact that the counter case is pending against the de-facto complainant and party, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW**



(f) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sd/-
19/04/2022

/ /2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.II)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
SATTUR.
 2. -DO-THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUTHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
 3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SATTUR TOWN POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
 4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- +1. CC to M/s.P.KALAIYARASI BHARATHI, ADVOCATE SR.No.3550

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.7189 of 2022
Date :19/04/2022