



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.200 of 2022

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 01.09.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

TR.C.M.P(MD).No.200 of 2022
and
C.M.P(MD).Nos.3595 & 3596 of 2022

J.Kasthuri Bai

... Petitioner

v.

S.Jeyakumar

... Respondent

Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 24 of C.P.C to withdraw the case in O.P.No.3589 of 2021 on the file of the II Additional Family Court, Chennai and transfer the same to the Sub Court, Sankarankovil.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Senthil Sankaranatha Kumar

For Respondent : No appearance



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.200 of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed seeking transfer of the case in O.P.No.3589 of 2021 from the file of the II Additional Family Court, Chennai to the file of the Sub Court, Sankarankovil.

2. The petitioner is the wife and the respondent is the husband. The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was solemnized on 26.11.2001. Due to some misunderstanding, now, they are living separately and the respondent has filed O.P.No.3589 of 2021 before the II Additional Family Court, Chennai, seeking divorce. The petitioner is residing at Tirunelveli in her parental house. Since the petitioner is not having sufficient means to travel and meet out her day-to-day expenses, she finds it difficult to travel from Tirunelveli to Chennai. Therefore, she filed the present Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition to transfer the case in O.P.No.3589 of 2021 from the file of the II Additional Family Court, Chennai, to the file of the Sub Court, Sankarankovil.



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.200 of 2022

WEB COP 3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the respondent is not even providing any maintenance to the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner is not having sufficient means to travel and meet out her day-to-day expenses and there is no one to accompany her. Therefore, she finds it difficult to travel and appear before the II Additional Family Court, Chennai, for each and every hearing.

4. There is no representation for the respondent.

5. The Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Amitha Shah vs- Virendar Lal Shah*, reported in *(2003)10 SCC 609* has held that the convenience of the wife and more so of the child must be taken into account while deciding the petition for transfer. In the case of *Rajani Kishor Paradeshi Vs Kishor Babulal Paradeshi reported in (2005) 12 SCC 237*, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that in such type of transfer petitions, the convenience of the wife is to be preferred over the convenience of the husband.



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.200 of 2022

6. This Court being satisfied with the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of this petition and also taking into account that the convenience of wife is to be taken into consideration at the time of considering transfer petitions as held by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the judgments cited supra, is inclined to allow the present petition.

7. In the light of the above, this transfer civil miscellaneous petition stands allowed. The proceedings in O.P.No.3589 of 2021 pending on the file of the II Additional Family Court, Chennai, is withdrawn and ordered to be transferred to the file of the Sub Court, Sankarankovil. The learned Judge, II Additional Family Court, Chennai, is directed to transmit the entire case records to the Sub Court, Sankarankovil, forthwith. The learned Judge, Sub Court, Sankarankovil, shall dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Index : Yes / No
Internet: Yes / No
gk

01.09.2022



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.200 of 2022

To

WEB COPY

1. The Sub Court,
Sankarankovil.
2. The II Additional Family Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.200 of 2022

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

gk

TR.C.M.P(MD).No.200 of 2022

01.09.2022