



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 21.04.2022

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice **K.MURALI SHANKAR**

CRL OP(MD) . No.6954 of 2022

1. Kamaraj

2. Saiman @ Saiman Raja

3. Ranjani Jothiboy

... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 3

Vs

The State represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Mengnanapuram Police Station,  
Thoothukudi District.  
(Crime No.108 of 2022)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.S.R.Anbarasu,Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Sivakumar,  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side).

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.108 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

**ORDER :** The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A.1 to A.3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) IPC, in Crime No.108 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and the daughter of the petitioners 1 and 3 are husband and wife, due to some family dispute, the defacto complainant's wife is now residing with her parents and on 06.04.2022, the defacto complainant attempted to take away his child by force from his wife, due to that, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the case in counter is pending in Crime No.107 of 2022 against the defacto complainant.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured was already discharged from the hospital

5.Considering the facts that there existed family dispute between the parties, that the injured was already discharged from the hospital, that except the offence under Section 506(2) IPC, all other offences are bailable in nature and that the case in counter is pending, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Sathankulam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

**[a]**the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

**[b]**the petitioners 1 and 2 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation and the third petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

**[c]**the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

**[d]**the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

**[e]**On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.



[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-  
21/04/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

WEB COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

CSM

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
SATHANKULAM.
- 2 DO THROUGH:  
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
MENGANAPURAM POLICE STATION,  
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.6954 of 2022  
Date :21/04/2022

PKP/SVR/SAR-1/26.04.2022/3P/5C