



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/04/2022

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.6779 of 2022

Balaneelamegam

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Emaneswaram Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(In Crime No.95/2022).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.VEERAKUMAR.T,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.SIVAKUMAR,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.95/2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused No.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 324, 506(ii) IPC, in Cr.No.95 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to money transaction dispute between the parties, the petitioner and other accused abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him and also caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the co-accused was already arrested and released on bail and that the counter case in Crime No.94 of 2022 is pending against the de-facto complainant and party and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the fact that there existed money transaction dispute between the parties and also the facts that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that the co-accused was already released on bail, that the counter case in Crime No.94 of 2022 is pending against the de-facto complainant and party and that except the offence under Section 506(ii) IPC, all other offences are bailable in nature, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, but with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

12/04/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
PARAMAKUDI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
EMANESWARAM POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.VEERAKUMAR T Advocate SR.No.3298

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.6779 of 2022

Date :12/04/2022

SA/SVR/SAR.4/20.04.2022/3P/6C