



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.04.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6449 of 2022

P.Manimuthu

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Vedasanthur Police Station,
Vedasanthur,
Dindigul District.

3. Arjunan

... Respondents

Prayer: This Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to direct the 1st Respondent to instruct the 2nd Respondent not to interfere with civil dispute in Survey Nos.768/4 to an extent of 42 Cents out of 2 Acres 43 Cents, and the Survey No.769/4 to an extent of 85 cents out of 1 Acre 37 Cents, locate at Sriramapuram Village, Vedasanthur Taluk, Dindigul District on the basis of the Petitioner's representation dated 27.03.2022 and 30.03.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sathiamoorthy
For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor
(Criminal side)
for R1 & R2

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the 1st Respondent, to instruct the 2nd Respondent not to interfere with civil dispute in Survey Nos.768/4 to an extent of 42 Cents out of 2 Acres 43 Cents, and the Survey No.769/4 to an extent of 85 cents out of 1 Acre 37 Cents, situated at Sriramapuram Village, Vedasanthur Taluk, Dindigul District, on the basis of the Petitioner's representation dated 27.03.2022 and 30.03.2022.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the second respondent police harassed the petitioner, under the guise of enquiry.

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainant against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending on the file of the respondent police.



4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent police.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondents police has been harassing him, under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers, so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised, within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

- a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.
- b) The respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant.
- c) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.
- d) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.
- e) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or



registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CRL)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

PNM

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To:

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Vedasanthur Police Station,
Vedasanthur,
Dindigul District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.6449 of 2022
07.04.2022

CK(CO)
KB(20.04.2022) 3P 4C