



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/04/2022

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . Nos.6297 and 6744 of 2022

1. Rengan @ Rengasamy
2. Jeyaprakash

... Petitioners/Accused No.2&3
in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6297 of 2022

Selvaraj @ Selvam

... Petitioner/Accused No.1
in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6744 of 2022

Vs

The State Rep. By,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
(*)Annavasal Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
Crime.No.80/2022.

... Respondent/Complainant
in both petitions

**(*) CAUSE TILE AMENDED VIDE
ORDER DATED 12.04.2022, MADE IN
CRL MP(MD) NO.4555/2022 IN CRL
OP(MD) NO.6297 OF 2022 BY KMSJ.**

In both petitions:-

For Petitioner : M/s. Ganapathi Subramanian.P., Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.R.Sivakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITIONS FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C


COMMON PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.80 of 2022 on the file of
the Respondent police.

COMMON ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 379 IPC
r/w Sections 21(1) and 21(2) of Mines and Minerals (Development and
Regulation) Act and Section 224 of IPC, in Crime No.80 of 2022
on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners have
illegally transported three units of river sand each. Hence, the
complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and they have been falsely implicated in this case. However, he would further submit that the petitioners without prejudice to their right, are ready to deposit the amount that may be imposed by this Court.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioners have transported three units of river sand illegally. He would further submit that the petitioners are having one previous case.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the nature of the offence alleged, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) each to the credit of the District Mineral Foundation Trust, Pudukkottai District, without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court and produce the receipt/acknowledgment before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Illupur, Pudukkottai District.

7.On production of such receipt/acknowledgment, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Illupur, Pudukkottai District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners shall report before respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
12/04/2022

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ILLUPUR, PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANNAVASAL POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

COPY TO :

THE OFFICER-INCHARGE,
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6297 of 2022
Date :12/04/2022

sj i
USK/PN/SAR-IV/21.04.2022/3P/6C