



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:11.04.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.6662 of 2022

and

Crl.M.P(MD) No.4611 of 2022

WEB COPY

1.Sebastin Vignesh

2.Leema Rose

...Petitioners/ Accused No.1&2

Vs.

1. State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Uvari Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

2. Sebastin Salvina

...Respondents/ Complainants

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to call for the entire records pertaining to the case in Crime No.155 of 2021 on the file of the Inspector of Police,Uvari Police Station,Tirunelveli District and quash the same as against the petitioner's.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Saravanakumar

For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
No.1 Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in Crime No.155 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent police.

2. The Case of the prosecution is that the petitioners along with others attacked the defacto complainant and his brother along with his father on 28.11.2021, hence the case came to be registered.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Further for the very same incident, the petitioner has also lodged complaint as against the second respondent and the same was registered in Crime No.156 of 2021 and it is also pending.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that on the complaint given by the counter parties a counter case has been registered in Crime No. 156 of 2021 and the investigation is still pending.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. It is seen from the First Information Report that there are specific allegation as against the petitioners, which has to be investigated. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts. Further, it cannot be quashed in the threshold. This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of cognizable offence and as such this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

7. It is also relevant to rely upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in ***Crl.A.No.255 of 2019*** dated **12.02.2019 - Sau. Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekar vs. the State of Maharashtra & ors.**, as follows:-

"4. The only point that arises for our consideration in this case is whether the High Court was right in setting aside the order by which process was issued. It is settled law that the Magistrate, at the stage of taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with a view to taking cognizance of the offence, or in other words, to find out whether a prima facie case has been made out for summoning the accused persons. The learned Magistrate is not required to evaluate the merits of the material or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether the materials would lead to a conviction or not.

5. Quashing the criminal proceedings is called for only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence, or is frivolous, vexatious, or oppressive. If the allegations set out in the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has been taken by the Magistrate, it is open to the High Court to quash the same. It is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the Trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices> on a reading of the complaint and



consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification for the High Court to interfere.

WEB COPY

6.....

7.....

8.....

9. Having heard the learned Senior Counsel and examined the material on record, we are of the considered view that the High Court ought not to have set aside the order passed by the Trial Court issuing summons to the Respondents. A perusal of the complaint discloses that prima facie, offences that are alleged against the Respondents. The correctness or otherwise of the said allegations has to be decided only in the Trial. At the initial stage of issuance of process it is not open to the Courts to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Criminal complaints cannot be quashed only on the ground that the allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are prima facie made out in the complaint, the criminal proceeding shall not be interdicted."

8. In view of the above discussion, this Court is not inclined to quash the First Information Report. Hence this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. However, the first respondent is directed to complete the investigation in both the Crime Nos.155 of 2021 and 156 of 2021 following the police standing order for case and counter case and file a final report within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this Order, before the jurisdiction Magistrate. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

aav

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Uvari Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.6662 of 2022
and

Crl.M.P(MD) No.4611 of 2022
11.04.2022

RD(21.04.2022) 4P 3C