



WEB COPY



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.07.2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.6093 of 2022

1. Sindhanai Arasu
2. Jeyam
3. M.Seeman Jegadeesh ... Petitioners/Accused 1to3

Vs

1. The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thallakulam,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.2053 of 2021)
2. The Inspector of Police,
Anna Nagar Police Station,
Madurai City. ...Respondents 1&2/Complainants
3. Chella Meenakshi ...3rd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.R.Anand, Advocate.

For Respondents: Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram,
Additional Public Prosecutor
for R1 & R2.
Mr.Niranjana S.Kumar for R3.

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.2053 of 2021 on the file of the second respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A.1 to A.3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 313, 309, 109 and 406 IPC, in Crime No.2053 of 2021 on the file of the second respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner and the defacto complainant are husband and wife and that the petitioners had demanded more dowry from the defacto complainant's parents and also harassed the defacto complainant, due to that, the defacto complainant consumed rat poison and admitted in the hospital. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the third respondent would submit that this Court while dismissing the petition in CrI.O.P.(MD)no.4669 of 2022, which was filed to quash the FIR in Crime no.2053 of 2021, directed the second respondent to file a final report within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of that order.

4. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that the defacto complainant has appeared before the police and gave in writing that no further action is necessary and on recording the same, the action was ordered to be dropped and he has also filed a copy of the report filed by the Special Sub-Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Tallakulam.

5. Recording the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
26/07/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.II)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

CSM

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.



1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THALLAKULAM,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

2. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANNA NAGAR POLICE STATION,
MADURAI CITY.

3. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.NIRANJAN.S.KUMAR, Advocate SR.No.7821

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.6093 of 2022
Date :26/07/2022

SP/VR/SAR II/29/07/2022/3P/5C