



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 24/03/2022
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.5478 of 2022

1. Saraswathi
2. Dinesh
3. Ramesh
4. Thangapandi

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 4

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Koodankulam Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
in Crime No.110/2022.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.POORNACHANDRAN.S,
Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.R.SIVAKUMAR,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.110/2022 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324, 323 and 506(ii) IPC @ 294(b), 324, 323, 325, 326 and 506(ii) IPC, in Crime No.110 of 2022, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the parties regarding taking of water from the public tap, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant's mother in filthy language and attacked the de-facto complainant and his brother with iron rod and wooden log and also caused injuries. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the case in counter in Crime No.111 of 2022 is pending against the de-facto complainant and party.



4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) would submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the fact that there existed dispute regarding taking of water from the public tap and also the facts that the injured was already discharged from the hospital, that except the offence under Sections 326 and 506(ii) IPC, all other offences are bailable in nature and that the case in counter in Crime No.111 of 2022 is pending against the de-facto complainant and party, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rathapuram, Tirunelveli District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/-(Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation; and the petitioners 2 to 4 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f)if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

24/03/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
RADHAPURAM, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KODANKULAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.S.VIJAYA KUMAR, Advocate SR.No.2457

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.5478 of 2022

Date :24/03/2022

SA/SVR/SAR.3/04.04.2022/3P/6C