



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:17.03.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP(MD) . No.4694 of 2022

Sonai Shanmuga Raja

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Parthibanur Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the entire records in relating to the impugned order of the 1st respondent in Na.Ka.No.62 Kaa. thu. Ka-Parama / Ni.Ni./2022 dated 28.02.2022 and to quash the same and consequently, to direct the 1st respondent to grant appropriate permission and adequate police protection to conduct the Valli Thirumana Drama on 24.03.2022 from 10.00pm to next day 5.00am on the eve of the 48th day of Mandala Poojai festival in the petitioner's temple, namely Arul Mighu Sri Salamarudaiya Ayyannar and Soniya Swamy Temple, situating in Keela Perunkarai village, Paramakudi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District.

For Petitioner : M/s.M.S.Jeyakarthish
For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor
for R1 & R2

O R D E R

This Writ Petition has been filed to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the entire records in relating to the impugned order of the first respondent in Na.Ka.No.62 Kaa. thu. Ka-Parama / Ni.Ni./2022 dated 28.02.2022 and to quash the same and consequently, to direct the first respondent to grant appropriate permission and adequate police protection to conduct the Valli Thirumana Drama on 24.03.2022 from 10.00pm to next day 5.00am, on the eve of the 48th day of Mandala Poojai festival in the petitioner's temple, namely Arul Mighu Sri Salamarudaiya Ayyannar and Soniya Swamy Temple, situating in Keela Perunkarai village,



Paramakudi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District.

2. By consent, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

3. The petitioner averred that he was the member of '48th day of Mandala Poojai festival' of 'Arul Mighu Sri Salamarudaiya Ayyannar and Soniya Swamy Temple, at Keela Perunkarai village, Paramakudi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District and the devotees arranged for cultural events viz., "Sri Valli Thirumanam Nadagam". Hence, the petitioner submitted a representation, dated 28.02.2022, before the second respondent. The second respondent, by impugned order, dated 28.02.2022, rejected the request made by the petitioner. Challenging the same, the petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that this Court repeatedly directs the police officials to grant permission to conduct cultural events and other related programmes with certain conditions. Therefore, he prayed to quash the impugned order passed by the second respondent, dated 28.02.2022 and prayed to grant permission to conduct cultural events on 24.03.2022.

6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents submitted that the request made by the petitioner was rejected on the ground that due to Covid-19 pandemic situation, therefore, this writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

7. It is relevant to rely the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court dated 10.07.2018 made in **W.P. (MD) No.14491 of 2018**, where, this Court has held as follows:

"3. In *M.Velmurugan V. The Superintendent of Police*, on 24.01.2018. In passing orders in *W.P. (MD) No.13440 of 2017*, dated 20.07.2017, this Court had observed as follows:-

"3. We may at the very outset note that the celebrations pertain to Arulmighu Sankaranarayana Swamy Temple, Sankarankovil. It is a very ancient and renowned Temple. It is under the control of the Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department. It is beyond dispute that celebrations in such Temples are customary in nature. It is not as if the writ petitioner is seeking to introduce some new practice. What is being traditionally held and conducted has to be necessarily followed. Therefore, we have no



hesitation in allowing the writ petition as prayed for"

It is also relevant to note the notification dated 10.08.2017 in S.O.2555(E) by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, wherein, it has been stated as follows:

"3. In the principal rules, in rule 5, for sub-rule (3), the following shall be substituted namely:-

(3) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-rule (2), the State Government may subject to such terms and conditions as are necessary to reduce noise pollution, permit use of loud speakers or public address systems and the like during night hours (between 10.00 p.m. to 12.00 midnight) on or during any cultural, religious or festive occasion of a limited duration not exceeding fifteen days in all during a calendar year and the concerned State Government or District Authority in respect of its jurisdiction as authorised by the concerned State Government shall generally specify in advance, the number and particulars of the days on which such exemption should be operative."

Considering the above, this Court passed the following order in **W.P. (MD) No.14491 of 2018**, which reads as follows:

"7. This Court, taking into consideration the earlier order of this Court and that on earlier occasion, the respondents had not too much to complain of, directs the respondents to grant permission to conduct the "Light Music" and "Patti Mandram" upto 12, mid night, between 17.07.2018 to 28.07.2018. The submission of learned counsel for petitioner that the sound system will be operated within permissible decibel levels is recorded."

8. In furtherance to above, the Division Bench of this Court recently in **W.P. (MD) Nos.17731 of 2018 and etc, batch, dated 10.08.2018**, passed the following order:

"2. We are of the view that no public interest is involved in these Writ Petitions. We feel that the need to approach this Court would not have arisen, if only the respondent police considered the request of the petitioners within a reasonable time, i.e., at least two days from the date of receipt of representations. Thus, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we direct the Inspector of Police/Sub-Inspector of Police concerned in all the Writ Petitions to consider and pass appropriate orders on the representations



submitted by the petitioners within a period of two days. In the event of granting permission, the respondent police shall always impose any reasonable conditions, as has been imposed in the earlier occasions.

3. Considering the issue involved, which will be recurring in nature, we direct the Inspector General of Police, South Zone and the Central Zone to issue appropriate directions in this regard to all the police officers concerned coming within their jurisdiction, who would be otherwise dealing with such cases, to take decisions within a period of two days from the date of receipt of representations from the petitioners so that the Courts will not be troubled.

4. It is brought to the notice this Court by Mr.K.Chellapandian, learned Additional Advocate General, assisted by Mr.A.K.Baskarapandian, learned Special Government Pleader, that the Writ Petitions are being filed, after giving representations in the previous days.

5. We find considerable force in the said submission made by the learned Additional Advocate General. Our directions can never be implemented, if the petitioners rush to this Court on the very next day, after giving representations. Therefore, the persons, who seek permission to conduct cultural programme, are required to give representations at least two weeks before the proposed cultural programmes and thereafter, the directions, as given above, will have to be complied with by the police officer concerned."

9. In view of the above decision rendered by this Court, the impugned order passed by the second respondent cannot be sustained and it is liable to be set aside. Accordingly, the impugned order passed by the second respondent is quashed. Further, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the following directions are issued to the second respondent:-

The first respondent is directed to grant permission and to provide adequate police protection for the cultural programme to be conducted on **24.03.2022** on the eve of **"48th day of Mandala Poojai festival"** of **"Arul Mighu Sri Salamarudaiya Ayyannar and Soniya Swamy Temple"**, at **Keela Perunkarai village, Paramakudi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District**, subject to the following conditions:

- a) the cultural programme in connection with a Festival in **"48th day of Mandala Poojai festival"** of **"Arul Mighu Sri Salamarudaiya Ayyannar and Soniya**



Swamy Temple", at Keela Perunkarai village, Paramakudi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District scheduled to be held on **24.03.2022** should be completed before 12.00 midnight or within the time permitted by the respondent.

b) The restrictions have now been lifted and permitted to gather not more than 50 persons for festival function.

(c) double meaning songs should not be played so as to spoil the minds of students and the youth;

(d) no songs, touching upon any political party or religion, community or caste be played;

(e) no flex boards in support of any political party or religious leader be erected;

(f) the cultural programme should not affect either religious or communal harmony and shall be conducted without any discrimination based on caste;

(g) if there is any violation of any one of the conditions imposed, the concerned Police Officer is at liberty to take necessary action, as per law and stop such performance;

(h) similarly, the Police is empowered to stop the programme, if it exceeds beyond the permitted time;

(i) the participants of the programme shall not intake any kind of in-toxic substance or liquor during the programme; and

(j) if any untoward incident takes place, the organizers of the programme be made responsible for the same.

10. Considering the above, the second respondent is directed to strictly adhere to the Standard Operating Procedure adopted by the Government of Tamil Nadu.

11. It is open to respondent police to put any further restrictions or to impose any conditions purely in the interest of preserving public order and tranquillity. There can be a total ban for putting up any Flex Boards representing any community.

12. This writ petition is disposed of with the above observations and directions. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)



PNM

Note :In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Parthibanur Police Station,
Ramanthapuram District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK, Advocate (SR-12893[F] dated 18/03/2022)

ORDER IN

WP(MD) No.4694 of 2022

Date : 17.03.2022

srr(CO)

TR(18.03.2022) 6P 5C