



CONT.P.(MD)No.568 of 2022

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.08.2022

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI**

CONT.P.(MD)No.568 of 2022

in

W.P.(MD) No.22341 of 2021

V.Sureshkumar

Petitioner

Vs.

Mr.S.Karrupasamy  
The Chief Educational Officer,  
O/o The Chief Educational Officer,  
Dindigul District,  
Dindigul.

Contemnor

**PRAYER:** Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, praying this Court to punish the contemnor/respondent for the deliberate and willful disobedience of the order passed in W.P(MD) No.22341 of 2021, dated 16.12.2021.

For Petitioners  
For Respondent

:Mr.M.Elango  
:Mr.Veera Kathiravan  
Additional Advocate General  
Assisted by  
Mr.Shaji Bino  
Special Government Pleader



CONT.P.(MD)No.568 of 2022

## **ORDER**

WEB COPY

This Contempt petition is filed that the order of this Court in W.P(MD) No.22341 of 2021, dated 16.12.2021 has not been complied with.

2.Today(05.08.2022), when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent submits that the Government clarified that the undertaking given by the Government in earlier round of litigation in a batch of Writ petitions in W.P(MD) Nos.22338 of 2021 etc.,dated 16.12.2021 is only for those persons, who have availed the interim orders in the above writ petitions and it is not meant for others. The learned Additional Advocate General further submits that the petitioner herein has not filed any such writ petition earlier and he also participated in the counselling and opted a place nearby. Now the petitioner is working in Government Higher Secondary School, Natham Taluk, Periyurpatti, Dindigul, which is nearby to his earlier place of work. The learned Additional Advocate General expressed



CONT.P.(MD)No.568 of 2022

that the respondents have also tried to accommodate the petitioner in any other nearby place. However, since there is no vacancy available as such, they could not do so. The learned Additional Advocate General has also produced the written instructions in Na.Ka.No. 59974/C2/E1/2021, dated 04.08.2022.

3.This Court considered the submissions made by the learned Additional Advocate General and also perused the materials placed on record.

4.It is an admitted fact that the petitioner has already participated in the counselling pursuant to G.O.Ms.No.176 dated 17.12.2021. It is also stated in the written instructions, dated 04.08.2022 that the petitioner, who was previously working in Block Resource Centre, Nilakkottai as Block Resource Teacher Educator is now working at Government Higher Secondary School, Natham Taluk, Periyurpatti, Dindigul, which is nearby from the earlier place of work. Considering the submission made by the learned Additional



CONT.P.(MD)No.568 of 2022

WEB COPY

Advocate General that though the respondent tried to accommodate the petitioner in any other nearby place, there is no vacancy, this Court is not inclined to pass any further order in this contempt petition.

5.Recording the written instructions submitted by the learned Additional Advocate General in Na.Ka.No.59974/ C2/ E1/ 2021, dated 04.08.2022, this Contempt petition is closed.

**05.08.2022**

Index:Yes/No  
Internet:Yes/No  
vrn

To

The Chief Educational Officer,  
O/o The Chief Educational Officer,  
Dindigul District,  
Dindigul.



WEB COPY



CONT.P.(MD)No.568 of 2022

**B.PUGALENDHI, J.**

vrn

**ORDER MADE IN**  
**CONT.P.(MD)No.568 of 2022**

**05.08.2022**