



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.03.2022

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice **K.MURALI SHANKAR**

CRL OP(MD) . No.4607 of 2022

Kottai Raja @ Kottairaj ... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
S.P.Pattinam Police Station,
S.P.Pattinam,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.04 of 2022)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan,Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side).

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.04 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) IPC and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.04 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are brothers, that there existed family dispute between the parties and that the petitioner along with other accused had assaulted the defacto complainant and his wife and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the third accused was arrested and released on bail and the second accused was granted anticipatory bail by the District Court.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured was already discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts that there existed family dispute between the parties, that injured was already discharged from the hospital and that the third accused was arrested and released on bail and the second accused was granted anticipatory bail by the District Court, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, Ramanathapuram District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
10/03/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

/ /2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

CSM

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVADANAI,
RAMANATHAPURAM.
- 3 DO THROUGH:
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT .
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
S.P PATTINAM POLICE STATION, S.P PATTINAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADRUAI.

+1 CC to M/s.N.PRAGALATHAN, Advocate (SR-1966[I] dated 11/03/2022)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.4607 of 2022
Date :10/03/2022

CSM
PKP/SBN/SAR-3/16.03.2022/3P/6C