



CRP(MD).No.400 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 30.11.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **B.PUGALENDHI**

CRP(MD)No.400 of 2022
and
C.M.P(MD).Nos.1757 and 5870 of 2022

1.Krishnadoss
2.Lalithambigai
3.Lavanya

: Petitioners /Respondents 2 to 4

Vs.,

1.Puvaneshwari
2.Arun

: 1st Respondent/Petitioner
: 2nd Respondent /1st Respondent

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to call for the records pertaining impugned proceedings filed by the first respondent in DVC.No.11 of 2021 on the file of the learned Additional Mahila Court (Magisterial Level) Nagercoil and set aside the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy



WEB COPY



CRP(MD).No.400 of 2022

exercised, as a measure of self-imposed restriction, in view of the corrective mechanism available to the aggrieved parties before the Magistrate, and then by way of an appeal under Section 29 of the Act.”

3. The Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court has also held that the personal appearance of the parties shall not be insisted upon, if the parties are effectively represented through a counsel. The relevant portion is extracted as under:

“iv. Personal appearance of the respondent(s) shall not be ordinarily insisted upon, if the parties are effectively represented through a counsel. Form VII of the D.V. Rules, 2006, makes it clear that the parties can appear before the Magistrate either in person or through a duly authorized counsel. In all cases, the personal appearance of relatives and other third parties to the domestic relationship shall be insisted only upon compelling reasons being shown. (See *Siladitya Basak v. State of West Bengal* (2009 SCC OnLine Cal 1903).”

4. This Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the proceedings under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act and not filed



CRP(MD).No.400 of 2022

on the ground of lack of jurisdiction. Therefore, this Civil Revision Petition is not maintainable before this Court, as per the decision rendered by the Hon'ble Full Bench (cited supra). However, this Court is inclined to dispose of the Civil Revision Petition in the following terms:

(i) If the petitioners are having any grievance that they have been unnecessarily added as parties to the proceedings, it is open to them to file an application before the learned Magistrate to delete their names and if any such application is filed, the learned Magistrate shall decide the same, as per the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Kunapareddy v. Kunapareddy Swarna Kumari***, reported in (2016) 11 SCC 774.

(ii) If the petitioners are represented through a counsel, the learned Magistrate shall not insist on the personal appearance of the petitioners. However, the petitioners shall appear before the Court as and when their presence is required by the Court.

(iii) The learned Magistrate is directed to dispose of D.V.C.No.11 of 2021 as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of five months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



CRP(MD).No.400 of 2022

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is disposed of. No costs.

Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

30.11.2022

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Rmk

To

The Additional Mahila Court (Magisterial Level) Nagercoil.



WEB COPY



CRP(MD).No.400 of 2022

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

Rmk

CRP(MD)No.400 of 2022

30.11.2022