



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 03.03.2022

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4138 of 2022

and

Crl.M.P(MD) No.2980 of 2022

1. S.Vignesh @ Eswaran @ Vigneshsagar
2. M.Esakki Durai @ Essakkipandi
3. M.Manikandan ... Petitioners/ Accused No.4,15 & 17
Vs

1. The Inspector of Police
Kayathar Police Station
Tuticorin District. ... Respondent/ Complainant
(Crime No.106/2020)
2. V.Saroja ... Respondent/ Defacto Complainant
3. Malliga ... Respondent/ Injured

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to Call for the records in Crime No.106 of 2020 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the F.I.R in Crime No.106 of 2020 on the file of the 1st Respondent police against these petitioners.


For Petitioner : Mr.Ka.Raamakrishnan

For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
No.1 Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in Crime No.106 of 2020 on the file of the first respondent police.

2. The prosecution case is that on 30.03.2020 at about 1.30 pm., when the respondents 2 and 3 were sitting in front of their house, during pandemic situation, the accused No.1 and 19 in respect of land dispute between them have gone and uttered them in abusive language and when the second respondent warned them that she will call the police, A1 attacked her with stick, pelted stones and caused injury, A12 attacked her with hands. Hence the case came to be registered.



3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the investigation is almost completed and the respondent police are about to file the final report before the concerned court.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. It is seen from the First Information Report that there are specific allegation as against the petitioners, which has to be investigated. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts. Further, it cannot be quashed in the threshold. This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of cognizable offence and as such this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

7. It is also relevant to rely upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in ***Crl.A.No.255 of 2019*** dated ***12.02.2019 - Sau. Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekhar vs. the State of Maharashtra & ors.***, as follows:-

"4. The only point that arises for our consideration in this case is whether the High Court was right in setting aside the order by which process was issued. It is settled law that the Magistrate, at the stage of taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with a view to taking cognizance of the offence, or in other words, to find out whether a prima facie case has been made out for summoning the accused persons. The learned Magistrate is not required to evaluate the merits of the material or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether the materials would lead to a conviction or not.

5. Quashing the criminal proceedings is called for only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence, or is frivolous, vexatious, or oppressive. If the allegations set out in the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has been taken by the Magistrate, it is open to the High Court to quash the same. It is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the Trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it appears on a reading of the complaint



and consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification for the High Court to interfere.

6.....

7.....

8.....

9. Having heard the learned Senior Counsel and examined the material on record, we are of the considered view that the High Court ought not to have set aside the order passed by the Trial Court issuing summons to the Respondents. A perusal of the complaint discloses that prima facie, offences that are alleged against the Respondents. The correctness or otherwise of the said allegations has to be decided only in the Trial. At the initial stage of issuance of process it is not open to the Courts to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Criminal complaints cannot be quashed only on the ground that the allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are prima facie made out in the complaint, the criminal proceeding shall not be interdicted."

8. In view of the above discussion, this Court is not inclined to quash the First Information Report. Hence this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. However, the respondent police is directed to complete the investigation and file final report before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kovilpatti.
2. The Inspector of Police
Kayathar Police Station, Tuticorin District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

Crl.O.P. (MD) No.4138 of 2022

and Crl.M.P(MD) No.2980 of 2022

03.03.2022

AAV

MS/10.03.2022/3P.4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>